

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Planning propos	sal to rezone la	nd at Ashfield East for mi	xed use developm	ent		
Proposal Summ	at the gateway t	The intention of the planning proposal is to facilitate redevelopment and consolidation of lots at the gateway to the Ashfield Town Centre, the Ashfield East precinct, for the purposes of mixed use development.					
	 change the zor increase the m 	ning from R2 Lo aximum floor s	o change the planning co ow Density to B4 Mixed U pace ratio (FSR) from 0.7 of buildings from 8.5 me	se; :1 to 1.5:1; and			
PP Number :	PP_2014_ASHF	_001_00	Dop File No :	14/15562			
posal Details							
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	10-Sep-2014 red :		LGA covered :	Ashfield			
Region :	Metro(CBD)		RPA :	The Council	of the Municipality of		
State Electorate	CANTERBURY		Section of the Act ;	55 - Planning	g Proposal		
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning						
ocation Detail	S						
Street :	1-3 Prospect Road						
Suburb :	Ashfield	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2131		
Land Parcel :	Lot: 3 DP: 4458; Lot: 4	DP: 4458					
Street :	124-127 Cariton Creso	ent					
Suburb :	Ashfield	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2131		
Land Parcel :	Lot: 3 DP: 913001; Lo	t: 1 DP: 983940	; Lot: 1 DP: 928376; Lot: (5 DP: 4458			
Street :	90-108 Liverpool Road	d					
Suburb :	Ashfield	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2131		
Land Parcel : Street :			; Lot: 1 DP: 961454; Lot: 5 BDP: 130469; Lot: 1 DP: 3				
Suburb :	Ashfield	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2131		
!		, ·	- , ,				

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Helen Wilkins
Contact Number :	0285754102
Contact Email :	helen.wilkins@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Ron Sim
Contact Number :	0297161971
Contact Email :	rons@ashfield.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Diane Sarkies
Contact Number :	0285754111
Contact Email :	diane.sarkies@plannlng.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

	Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
	Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy:	
	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
	No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
	Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :	The Department's Code of Conduc	ct has been complied with.	
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
	If Yes, comment :	Metropolitan Delivery (CBD) Branch has not knowingly met with or communicated with any lobbyist in relation to this planning proposal.		
1	Suppo rting notes			
	Internal Supporting Notes :	The proposed amendment will rez Use, permit a maximum building h 1.5:1.		-
		The planning proposal is support • satisfies State and subregional in developments capable of achievin proximity to Liverpool Road, a ma Summer Hill Stations; • encourages activation of the eas	netropolitan strategy objectiv ig integrated land use and tra jor arterial road and bus rout	nsport, noting the site's e, and to Ashfield and

	transit-orientated mixed use precinct benefiting from access to Liverpool Road, two rall stations, Ashfield Town Centre and Summer Hill Village Centre; • implements the Ashfield Urban Planning Strategy 2010 by consolidating the B4 Mixed Use zones in the Ashfield East area along Liverpool Road, to create new retail, commercial and residential opportunities at the eastern fringe of Ashfield Town Centre; and, • achieves a strategic planning outcome by creating the potential for the consolidation of lots and of the high number of private vehicular driveways along Liverpool Road. The Ashfield Urban Planning Strategy 2010 seeks to promote new retail and business opportunities as part of mixed use developments within eastern fringe of the town centre, particularly for new small businesses located at ground level. The proposed scale of the future development is compatible with the surrounding area and with its role as a mixed use "gateway" development on the periphery of a Town Centre to the west. The existing surrounding planning controls are: • 22-23 metres max building height and 1.8:1-2.0:1 FSR to the west; • 12.5 metres max building height and 0.5:1-0.7:1 FSR to the south (excludes the council
	depot); • 8.5 metres max building height and 0.7:1 FSR to the east; and, • 29 metres max building height and FSR of 3.0:1 to the north (site of the Western Suburbs Leagues Club).
	Council intends to draft a Development Control Plan, to be exhibited with the planning proposal.
	Council has requested delegation to make the plan and has submitted 'Attachment 4- Evaluation Criteria For Delegation'. Council's request of delegation for making this LEP is supported.
External Supporting Notes :	The intention of this planning proposal is to facilitate redevelopment and consolidation of lots at the gateway to the Ashfield Town Centre, known as the Ashfield East precinct, for the purposes of mixed use development.
	 The planning proposal has been prepared to: activate the eastern "Gateway" to Ashfield central; address a request received by Council to rezone two lots at 106-108, from R2 Low Density to either R3 Medium Density or R4 High Density Residential. Council reviewed the request and, taking into account broader strategic planning and urban design issues, determined planning controls on nearby sites could be amended to achieve strategic goals, in keeping with State Government planning policies and Council's Urban Planning Strategy 2010. consolidate fragmented zoning in Ashfield East and to rezone for mixed uses fronting either side of Liverpool Road; and provide for improved traffic planning by consolidating the current numerous vehicular entrances along Liverpool Road into a single entrance at the Council Depot laneway, which is traffic light controlled, plus other entrances in Victoria Street, Carlton Crescent and Prospect Road.
lequacy Assessme	
	bjectives - s55(2)(a)
Comment :	The objective of the planning proposal is to facilitate the redevelopment of sites at the gateway to the Ashfield Town Centre, known as 'Ashfield East', for the purposes of mixed use development.

This is considered adequate.

Explanation of provis	sions provided - s55	(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of prov	isions provided? Yes		
Comment :	To achieve the objectives of the PP, the following amendments are proposed for the subject sites: • amend the Land Zoning Map from R2 Low Density to B4 Mixed Use; • amend the Floor Space Ratio Map from 0.7:1 to 1.5:1; and • amend the Height of Buildings Map from 8.5 metres to 20.0 metres.		
Justification - s55 (2)	(c)		
a) Has Council's strategy	/ been agreed to by the D	irector General? No	
b) S.117 directions identi		1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
* May need the Director	-	 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 	
Is the Director Genera	al's agreement required?	No	
c) Consistent with Standa	ard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have th	e RPA identified?	SEPP No 1—Development Standards SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes) SEPP (Major Projects) 2005 SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) 2007 SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The planning propos has not addressed s as Class 5 Acid Sulf	sal is not inconsistent with any SEPPs. sal is not inconsistent with any s.117 Directions. However, Council s.117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils. The subject sites are identified ate Soil and in combination with proposed intensification of use on sal is subject to this direction.	
	proposal that propose probability of contai unless the relevant p assessing the appro- sulfate soils. Ashfield LEP 2013 d identified as Class 5 land that forms a 500 likelihood that land u are approximately 90 Canal). The propose Inconsistency with t SEPP 55 - Remediati This SEPP provides	es that a relevant planning authority must not prepare a planning ses an intensification of land uses on land identified as having a ning acid sulfate soils on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps planning authority has considered an acid sulfate soils study priateness of the change of land use given the presence of acid oes not include Acid Sulfate Soils maps, but the subject sites are on the NSW Government Natural Resource Atlas. Class 5 land is 0 metre buffer to Classes 1-4 and is of consideration due to the use intensification could alter groundwater levels. The subject sites 00 metres from the nearest affected land (Class 2 at Hawthorne I is unlikely to affect the groundwater levels. his Direction is considered minor and justified.	
		the subject land is unlikely to be contaminated, but an assessment development application stage.	

Planning proposal to rezone land at Ashfield East for mixed use development Council intends to prepare and publicly exhibit a preliminary Soil Contamination Investigation, should a positive Gateway determination be issued. Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : The planning proposal includes maps showing the proposed: - Land Zoning Map; - Floor Space Ratio Map; - Height of Buildings Map. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : Given the nature of the planning proposal, a community consultation period of 28 days is proposed by Council. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : December 2013 Comments in Ashfield LEP 2013 was published on 23 December 2013. relation to Principal LEP: **Assessment Criteria** Need for planning The planning proposal is required to encourage the redevelopment and activation of the proposal: Ashfield Town Centre eastern gateway, an area that currently comprises single dwellings with poor amenity and difficult access to a major thoroughfare. The proposed mixed use

Club opposite and highly urbanised context.

zoning and building bulk and scale would better relate to the Western Suburbs Leagues

Objective B1: To focus activity in accessible centres. Objective E2: To focus Sydney's economic growth and renewal, employment and
opective E2. To focus sydney s economic growth and renewal, employment and
education in centres.
The planning proposal contributes to the Objectives of the draft Sydney Metropolitan Strategy.
• Objective 2: Strengthen and grow Sydney's centres. It seeks to facilitate the provision of
a new mixed use precinct adjacent to, and supplementary to, Ashfield Town Centre. • Objective 5: Deliver new housing to meet Sydney's growth.
The planning proposal contributes to the objectives of the draft Inner West Subregional
Strategy. • Action C2.1: Focus residential development around centres, town centres, villages and
neighbourhood centres.
• Action SO B2.1.3: Councils to investigate increasing densities in all centres where access to employment, services and public transport are provided or can be provided. The sites are located on Liverpool Road, along which high frequency bus routes operate. The sites are also approximately 600 metres from Ashfield Railway Station and 730 metres from Summer Hill Railway Station on the T1, T2 and T3 Lines. The railway lines provide connection to both Strathfield and Sydney CBD interchanges, giving easy rail access to
most centres in Sydney.
The planning proposal is consistent with Councils' key studies and strategies: • Ashfield Urban Planning Strategy (2010): Consolidates the B4 Mixed Use zone on either
side of Liverpool Road to promote new retail, commercial and residential opportunities at
the eastern periphery of Ashfield centre; and, • Ashfield Urban Strategy, Analysis and Issues (2008).
Environmental: The planning proposal will not result in any impact on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats, given that it applies to an established built-up urban area.
Compliance with REDD SS. Demodiation of Lands for development within the subject side
Compliance with SEPP 55 - Remediation of Lands for development within the subject sites will be addressed at development application stage. The sites are unlikely to be
significantly contaminated given the current land use. Council intends to exhibit a preliminary site assessment with the planning proposal.
Social:
The proposed residential component, supplemented by the commercial component, will
contribute to the social activation of the Ashfield East area, due to its location in
immediate proximity to Ashfield Town Centre. The residential component will deliver approximately 120 dwellings in a location close to public transport, community and educational facilities and jobs.
The subject sites are not heritage listed and are not in a heritage conservation area. Consideration of potential heritage significance was not considered in the planning proposal.
The subject sites are approximately 800 metres from Parramatta Road. While the site is located near Parramatta Road, it is unlikely the proposal will impact on WestConnex or Urban Growth's Parramatta Road Urban Renewal Program. It is recommended, however,
that Council consult with Urban Growth on this proposal.
Frememia
Economic: The proposed commercial components of the mixed use development will contribute to
employment and commercial components of the mixed use development will contribute to
the local area. It will create active street frontages in a consolidated B4 Mixed Use zone. It
will encourage socio-economic activity that extends, and is compatible with, the nearby
Town Centre and is complimentary with the West's Leagues Club opposite. It will also ensure that the sites' location, adjacent to the Town Centre and a medium-low density

Ì

residential area and on a major transport corridor, is fully utilised.

Whilst it is Council's intention to provide opportunities for new small businesses to occupy the ground level of buildings, Council anticipate that a relatively small quantum of new business floor space will eventuate. Council wish to ensure that future development will have no adverse economic impact on the nearby Ashfield Town Centre, but also intend to encourage commercial development via Development Control Plan provisions, which are currently under review.

The proposal reviews current infrastructure capacity and indicates that electricity, telephone and sewer connections are capable of servicing the area. Council has noted that "any required upgrading would be discussed further with Sydney Water" as part of consulting State agency. It is recommended that Council also consult Urban Growth NSW, regarding the proposal and potential infrastructure impacts.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Energy Australia Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - Roads ar Sydney Water Other	nd Maritime Services		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed? Yes			
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasons	5			
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :			
No internal consultation	n required			
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructure releva	nt to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				
cuments				
Document File Name		DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
Covering letter to Depa Council report & resolu Planning Proposal.pdf Attachment_1respons		Proposal Coverir Proposal Proposal Proposal	ng Letter	Yes Yes Yes No
	ation_criteriaeresponse.pdf	Proposal		No

Planning proposal to rezone land at Ashfield East for mixed use development					
Planning Team Recommendation					
Preparation of the plannir	Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions				
S.117 directions;	 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 				
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:				
	 The planning proposal is exhibited for a minimum of 28 days, consistent with Council's intentions. 				
	2. The planning proposal be completed within 9 months.				
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held.				
	4. A written authorisation to exercise delegation under section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 is issued to Council in relation to the planning proposal.				
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is supported for the following reasons:				
	 it is consistent with the NSW strategic planning framework and Council's strategic plan; and 				
	 the provision of a mixed use precinct and residential housing in immediate proximity to Ashfield Town Centre and good public transport will facilitate social and economic activation of the area. 				
Signature:	M. lags				
Printed Name:	MARTIN COOPER Date: 23/10/2014				